

# The Neuroscience of Science Education

Denis Mareschal

Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development

Birkbeck University of London



# What is Scientific Reasoning?

- Mental activities that are involved when individuals attempt to make discoveries about the world
- Domain general cognitive processes that are used to help formulate hypotheses, design experiments, collect data (or make observations), and evaluate evidence



• I will focus the majority of this presentation on the use of causal reasoning, deductive reasoning and analogical reasoning in scientific thinking







# Exploring Reasoning in the Brain

*Functional imaging (e.g. fMRI)* measures "current processing" *within an individual* 



*Structural images* appear to reflect: "learning" "ability" possibly "potential" differences *across individuals* 





# **Exploring Reasoning in the Brain**

- Almost all work carried out with adolescents and young adults
- Difficulties of working with children include noise, motion artefacts, lack of structural templates.
- Lowest ages typically 6 years of age



# Three Examples....

#### • Causal inference

Increased atmospheric CO2 output causes global warming

Deductive inference

E.g., all mammals have fur. Wombats are mammals, therefore wombats have fur

- Analogical inference
- E.g., Rutherford Atom 🖘 Solar System







Figure 5 (Source: Galotti, Fernandes, Fugelsang, & Stolz, 2010; Nelson Publishing)

# Causal Inference in the Brain



- Perception is different from reasoning
- Different systems underlie causal perception from causal reasoning
- Evidence from Split Brain patients (callosotomy surgery)
- Left hemisphere involved in causal inference, right hemisphere involved in causal perception (Roser et al., 2005)

### Causal Inference in the Brain



Figure 2 (Source: Fugelsang & Dunbar, 2005; Neuropsychologia)

Consistency with prior beliefs is a key modulating factor!

# Causal Inference in the Brain



- Evaluating causal explanations recruited :
- parts of the parahippocampal cortex (associated with semantic knowledge) when the explanation was consistent with prior beliefs
- (2) the DLFPC (Dorsal Lateral Prefrontal Cortex) and Anterior Cingulate (AC) when hypothesis was inconsistent with prior beliefs
- (Fugelsang & Dunbar, 2005; Parris et al., 2009)

# Deductive Inference in the Brain



- Imaging suggests that both language-based and visual spatial modes are engaged during deductive reasoning (Goel, 2007, 2003)
- Posterior to anterior shift with age/expertise (Houde et al. 2001)
- A fractionated system that can be dynamically reconfigured in response to the familiarity of the task
- LPFC activation increases when level of belief conflict increases
- Implication of DLPFC (Dorsal Lateral Prefrontal Cortex) ... especially in tasks involving the integration of prior knowledge

### **Deductive Inference in the Brain**

4

5



2.5 **Parameter Estimates** 2 1.5 1 3 0.5 0 2 -0.5 2 3 1 1 Level of Belief-Content Conflict

Figure 4 (Source: Stollstorf, Vartanian, & Goel, 2012; Brain Research)

LPFC activation increases when level of belief conflict increases

# Analogical Inference in the Brain



Figure 5 (Source: Galotti, Fernandes, Fugelsang, & Stolz, 2010: Nelson Publishi

- Some studies with children from age 8 years.
- Multiple PFC regions implicated
- Need to differentiate perceptual from verbal analogies
- Evaluating or producing analogies revealed that:
- (1) Frontopolar cortex (part of the PFC) and right lateral PFC are sensitive to integration of multiple systems of visual relations (either abstract or concrete; Raven's MAtrices)
- (2) Frontopolar cortex and aLiPFC involved in semantic similarity judgments

# Analogical Inference in the Brain



Figure 6 (Source: Green, Kraemer, Fugelsang, Gray, & Dunbar, 2010; Cerebral Cortex)

#### Semantic distance modulates brain activity

(perhaps non relational systems involved with low semantic distance (Leech et al. 2008)

# Analogical Inference in the Brain



Figure 5 (Source: Galotti, Fernandes, Fugelsang, & Stolz, 2010; Nelson Publish

- Some studies with children from age 6 years.
- 6- to 13-year-olds engage similar systems but do so too late to influence response (Wright et al, 2008)
- 8- to 12-year-olds did not engage RLPFC when more than 2 relations needed to be integrated (Crone et al., 2009)

#### The Key ideas...

- Findings are consistent with the idea that executive functions can be dissociated into *Evaluative* and *Executive* components involving the AC and DLPFC respectively
- AC identifies conflict and DLPFC resolves conflict
- Few developmental fMRI studies
- BUT findings are consistent with the suggestion of the importance of conflict monitoring in classic theories of reasoning (e.g., Piaget's reflective abstraction)

#### General Lessons from Neuroimaging...

- Fractionated generalist systems made from basic cognitive building blocks
- Both executive control and semantic knowledge systems play an important role in scientific reasoning
- Reasoning that is consistent with prior knowledge recruits different a neural system than reasoning that is inconsistent with prior knowledge
- The late maturing of the DLPFC may partially underlie prolonged development of reasoning skills

# Putative Implications for Education

- Participants engage different reasoning systems when presented with hypotheses consistent or inconsistent with prior beliefs or knowledge
- (1) So... increasing domain knowledge (evidence) should be a precursor to teaching inferential techniques (e.g., hypothesis testing)
  (2) Improving "conflict monitoring" will have knock-on effects on reasoning in the brain

More developmental research needed!

# Thank you for your attention!



